

Fostering Human Security Through Chinese Philosophy and Cross-Cultural Education

Dr Chunmei Pan

Published: 17 July 2025

Introduction

In a rapidly globalizing world marked by increasing intercultural contact, the role of education and philosophy in fostering [human security](#) (United Nations Trust Fund for Human Security) and mutual understanding across cultural boundaries has never been more vital. Chinese philosophical traditions offer deep insights into ethical living, personal development, social harmony, and adaptation to change—key elements of human security and arguably the People’s Republic of China’s (PRC) most unique sources of [soft power](#) (Nye, 1990). This article discusses how these traditions can be meaningfully revitalized and taught in contemporary Australian universities, using a cross-cultural lens that draws from personal experience, research, and teaching practice. The potential outcome is higher levels of human security and a greater understanding of Australia’s ability to conduct functional international relations with the PRC.

Revitalizing Chinese philosophy in a contemporary context

Chinese philosophical traditions—most notably [Confucianism](#) (*rújiā* 儒家 or *rúxué* 儒学) (Makeham, 2003; Mark, 2020), [Daoist philosophy](#) (*dàojiā zhéxué* 道家哲学) (Liu, 2015, pp. 471–488), [Chinese Buddhist philosophy](#) (*zhōngguó fójiào zhéxué* 中国佛教哲学) (Wang & Wawrytko, 2018, pp. 1–25; Hong, 2024), and the *Book of Changes* (*yìjīng* 易经) (Sigurðsson & Hon, 2025, pp. 1–14; Hon, 2022)—offer rich resources for ethical reflection and practical living that underpin human security. These traditions are not only historical or metaphysical systems but living bodies of wisdom that continue to resonate in diverse contemporary settings (Gu, 2018, pp. 1–25).

Confucianism, for instance, emphasizes moral cultivation, social responsibility, and harmonious relationships. Its teachings on leadership, self-discipline, and filial piety have implications for education, governance, and professional ethics (Yao, 2000, pp. 73–154). Laozi, the founder of Daoist philosophy, wrote the classic *Dàodéjīng* in 300 BCE, promoting harmony with nature, simplicity, and nonaction as paths to happiness, emphasizing balance through the polarized forces of *yīn* and *yáng* and warning that excessive striving often results in the opposite outcome (Molinoff, 2024). Daoist philosophy, with its emphasis on harmony with nature, spontaneity, and non-interference, offers timeless insights into balance, well-being, and sustainable living.

Chinese Buddhist philosophy, with its focus on compassion, mindfulness, and the transience of life, contributes insights relevant to emotional regulation, conflict resolution, and inner peace (Salguero, 2022). Just as Hong Xiuping 洪修平, a Chinese scholar of Buddhist philosophy, maintains that sinicized Buddhism offers a valuable model for harmonious cultural exchange, and demonstrates how distinct traditions like Indian Buddhism and Chinese Confucianism and Daoism can blend through conflict and cooperation, providing insights for coexistence in a globalized world (2024, p. 338). Hong further argues that Chinese Buddhism has long shaped how the Chinese people understand the mind and life's meaning, with Emperor Xiaozong of the Song Dynasty famously summarizing the three traditions as: Buddhism cultivates the mind, Daoism trains the body, and Confucianism governs society (2024, p. 343).

Meanwhile, the *Book of Changes* presents a dynamic worldview centered on change, adaptability, and foresight—an approach increasingly relevant in uncertain global environments (Redmond, 2017, pp. 336–340). Zhang Qicheng 张其成, a contemporary scholar of *Yijing* studies, states that the cultural significance of the *Book of Changes* extended into virtually every area of traditional Chinese life. In the first place, as the “first of the Six [Confucian] Classics” (儒家六經之首), it was a source of intellectual inspiration and moral authority for countless generations of Chinese scholars, from the time of its designation as a classic in 136 BCE until the abolition of the Chinese civil service examination system in 1905. Second, it provided a seemingly inexhaustible repository of symbols to represent and explain nearly every realm of human experience, from artistic, musical, and literary criticism to science, medicine, and technology (Zhang, 1996, as cited in Gu, 2018, p. 190). Furthermore, owing to its profound influence within China and China's cultural prestige, the *Yijing* spread across East Asia from the fifth or sixth century, reaching Japan, Korea, Vietnam, and Tibet. It later gained attention in the West through Jesuit missionaries in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. It is now widely translated and used globally for insight and guidance (Smith, 2018, as cited in Gu, 2018, p. 193).

These traditions represent China's most distinctive contributions to global soft power, offering ethical frameworks and cultural perspectives that resonate across borders. Rather than viewing these traditions as relics of the past, this article argues that teaching them provide unique lens for understanding historical and contemporary China. As interest in China's global role grows, these philosophies serve as a natural platform for dialogue, fostering mutual understanding while highlighting the cultural foundations shaping China's development.

Philosophy in practice: teaching Chinese culture in Australia

Teaching Chinese language and culture in an Australian university provides a unique opportunity to bridge cultural gaps. The classroom becomes a space where ancient Chinese thought can meet and engage with modern, diverse audiences. This

pedagogical approach not only introduces students to a new language but also to new ways of thinking about the world, community, identity, and values.

In practice, engaging students with Chinese philosophy involves more than textual analysis. Effective teaching strategies include:

- Comparative discussions between Chinese and Western philosophies
- Multimedia resources to contextualize classical texts
- Cultural excursions, debates, and themed presentations
- Interdisciplinary integration with contemporary issues, such as environmental ethics, mental health, and globalization

These approaches make ancient ideas relevant and accessible. Students often begin with limited or stereotypical views of Chinese culture but develop a nuanced appreciation through interactive teaching.

Cross-cultural reflection and dialogue

Living between Chinese and Australian cultural contexts enables a reflexive perspective that informs research and teaching. This dual perspective highlights translation—linguistic, conceptual, and cultural—as a scholarly and pedagogical tool. Bilingual communication enables the crossing of cultural borders, making concepts like *rén* (仁 humanness or benevolence) (Lai, 2014, as cited in Olberding, 2014, pp. 83–94), *wúwéi* (无为 nonaction) (Matthyssen, 2021, p. 136), or *yuán qǐ* (缘起 dependent arising) (Makeham, 2018, p. 15) intelligible in Western contexts through careful explanation.

Applications in contemporary education and society

Chinese philosophical traditions provide a framework for engaging with a wide range of contemporary global challenges essential to human security. For example:

- Mental health and mindfulness: Buddhist and Daoist practices offer tools for emotional regulation, stress management, and mindfulness.
- Ethics and leadership: Confucian teachings on virtue, self-discipline, and responsibility are relevant to political and organizational ethics.
- Sustainability: Daoist harmony with nature aligns with ecological thinking and sustainable development.
- Decision-making and adaptability: The *Book of Changes* promotes foresight, flexibility, and process-oriented thinking in uncertain environments.

Engaging with these philosophical traditions grants Western audiences access to a treasury of Chinese wisdom, providing profound yet practical frameworks, such as harmony, ethical leadership, adaptability, and sustainable living. As these ideas enter

global discourse, they enrich both academic and professional discourse, providing tools to navigate complexity while fostering mutual understanding across cultures. From boardrooms to classrooms, this shared intellectual heritage equips modern societies with time-tested perspectives for addressing contemporary challenges.

Toward a collaborative future

One of the greatest potentials of Chinese philosophy lies in its ability to foster dialogue across cultures, enhancing human security. This dialogue is not one-sided. While there is great value in sharing Chinese intellectual traditions with Australian students and scholars, it is equally important to introduce Chinese scholars and students to Western academic traditions, critical methods, and cultural perspectives. In this mutual exchange, both traditions are enriched.

Such collaboration can be further strengthened through:

- Joint research projects on comparative philosophy and education
- Bilingual publishing and translation initiatives
- Academic exchange programs
- Interdisciplinary symposia and forums

This article invites scholars from diverse disciplines to explore how Chinese and Western philosophies might be integrated to address shared challenges—whether ethical, educational, environmental, or existential. In doing so, we can build a more inclusive academic environment that values difference while seeking common ground.

Conclusion

Revitalizing Chinese philosophical traditions in the context of contemporary education provides a powerful way to bridge cultures and contribute meaningfully to global conversations on values, identity, and human flourishing, which are core to human security and relations with the PRC. Through research, teaching, and bilingual communication, Chinese philosophy can inform not only cultural understanding but also practical responses to the pressing challenges of our time (Gu, 2018, pp. 1–25). As Richard J. Smith (2018, as cited in Gu, 2018, p. 199) explains, teachers and scholars inevitably engage in cross-comparison when exploring texts and traditions, often driven by questions rooted in prior knowledge. While views on how to approach this vary, it is clear that exposing students to diverse worldviews is essential for broadening their intellectual perspective.

By promoting dialogue, mutual respect, and shared learning, we strengthen the ties between East and West, tradition and innovation, and philosophy and practice. The task before us is timely and necessary: cultivating a space where ancient wisdom and

contemporary challenges meet, and where education becomes a bridge—not a boundary—between cultures.

[Chunmei Pan](#) is an Associate Lecturer in the Department of Languages and Cultures at La Trobe University. Her research focuses on Ethnic minorities in China, traditional Chinese philosophy, intercultural education, and translation. She holds dual PhDs in Ethnology and Chinese Philosophy and is committed to fostering dialogue between Eastern and Western intellectual traditions.

Reference List

- Geoffrey Redmond, G. (2017). *The I Ching (Book of Changes): A critical translation of the ancient text*. Bloomsbury Academic.
- Gu, M.D. (Ed.). (2018). *Why traditional Chinese philosophy still matters: The relevance of ancient wisdom for the global age*. Routledge.
- Hon, T.K. (Ed.). (2022). *The other Yijing: The Book of Changes in Chinese history, politics, and everyday life*. Brill.
- Hong, X.P. (2024). *A brief history of Chinese Buddhism and Buddhist thought* (J. Hargreaves & D. Littler, Trans.). Brill.
- Liu, X.G. (2015). Daoism from philosophy to religion. In X.G. Liu (Ed.), *Dao companion to Daoist philosophy*. Springer.
- Makeham, J. (Ed.). (2003). *New Confucianism: A critical examination*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Makeham, J. (Ed.). (2018). *The Buddhist roots of Zhu Xi's philosophical thought*. Oxford University Press.
- Mark, J.J. (2020, July 7). *Confucianism*. World History Encyclopedia.
<https://www.worldhistory.org/Confucianism/>
- Matthyssen, M. (2021). *Ignorance is bliss: The Chinese art of not knowing*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Molinoff, M.J. (2024). *The way of eternal harmony*. Bamboo Holdings LLC.
- Nye, J.S. (1990). *Soft Power*. Foreign Policy, 80, 153–171.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/1148580>
- Olberding, A. (Ed.), *Dao companion to the Analects*. Springer.

- Salguero, P. (2022). *A global history of Buddhism and medicine*. Columbia University Press.
- Sigurðsson, G., & Hon, T.K. (Eds.). (2025). *Contemporary interpretations and readings of the Yijing: The changes in our times*. Springer Nature.
- Smith, R.J. (2018). Why the Yijing 易經 (Classic of Changes) matters in an age of globalization. In M.D. Gu (Ed.), *Why Traditional Chinese Philosophy Still Matters: The Relevance of Ancient Wisdom for the Global Age* (p. 193).
- United Nations Trust Fund for Human Security, *What is Human Security*, <https://www.un.org/humansecurity/what-is-human-security/>
- Wang, Y.R., & Wawrytko, S.A. (Eds.). (2018). *Dao companion to Chinese Buddhist philosophy*. Springer.
- Yao, X.Z. (2000). *An introduction to Confucianism*. Cambridge University Press.
- Zhang, Q.C. (张其成) (Ed.). (1996). *Yijing yingyong da baike* 易經應用大百科 [A practical encyclopedia of the Classic of Changes] (Vols. 1–2). Dijing Qiye Gufen Youxian Gongsi.